# INACTIVE SITES RANKING SYSTEM SUMMARY SHEET

Site Name:	Mohawk-Karastan Plant			
Location:	2007 Dickinson Ave., Greenville, Pitt Co.			35.600587°N 77.390456°W
ID Number:	NONCD0002874			
Ranked By:	Ginny Henderson	Date:	05/01/09	
Reviewed By:	Sue Robbins	_ Date:	05/04/09	

# Site Description/Comments:

Carpet manufacturing plant. Age of plant and deterioration of drainage systems, etc. led to request for soil and groundwater samples. Several compounds detected at site in excess of standards.

Route Scores: GW = 74.49 SW = 41.90 A = 0 P = 37.50

$$\frac{((74.49)^2 + (41.90)^2 + (0)^2 + (37.50)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2} = \underline{46.67}$$

Total Score:

### I. GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score
---------------	-----------------------------	-------

### A. Route Characteristics

- 1. Depth to Water Table
- 0 2 4 6 (8) 10
- 2. Net Precipitation

- 0 1 (2) 3
- 3. Hydraulic Conductivity
- 0 1 2 (3)

4. Physical State

0 1 2 (3)

		Total Route Characteristics Score	16
B.	Containment	0 1 2 ③	3

### C. Waste Characteristics

- 1. Toxicity/Persistence
- 0 3 6 9 12 15 (18)
- 2. Hazardous Waste Quantity 0 1 2 3 4 (5) 6 7 8

Total Waste Characteristics Score	22
Total waste Characteristics Score	23

## Ground Water Route of Migration Score

The Ground Water Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A, B, and C and dividing this by 14.82 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Ground Water Route of Migration Score: 74.49

### II. SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score
---------------	--------------------------------	-------

### A. Route Characteristics

- 1. Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain
- (0) 1 2 3
- 2. 1-yr., 24-hour Rainfall
- 0 1 2 3

3. Distance to Nearest Surface Water

0 2 4 6 8 10

4. Physical State

0 1 2 (3)

		Total Route Characteristics Score	9
B.	Containment	0 1 2 ③	3

### C. Waste Characteristics

1. Toxicity/Persistence

0 3 6 9 12 15 (18)

2. Hazardous Waste Quantity

0 1 2 3 4 (5) 6 7 8

Total Waste Characteristics Score	23
Total Waste Characteristics Score	23

Surface Water Route of Migration Score

The Surface Water Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A, B, and C and dividing this by 14.82 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Surface Water Route of Migration Score: 41.90

### III. AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)		Score	
A. Waste Characteristics				
Reactivity and     Incompatibility	0 1 2 3			
2. Toxicity	0 3 6 9			
3. Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	8		
		Total Waste Cha	aracteristics Score	0
B. Targets				
<ol> <li>Population Within a 4-Mile Radius</li> </ol>	0 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30			
2. Distance to Sensitive Environment	0 2 4 6			
3. Land Use	0 1 2 3			
		Total Tar	gets Score	0

# Air Route of Migration Score

The Air Route of Migration Score is obtained by multiplying lines A and B and dividing this by 7.80 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Air Route of Migration Score: 0

### IV. DIRECT CONTACT ROUTE SCORE SHEET

Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Score	
A. Residential Population 1. Toxicity	0 3 6 9		
2. Targets			
a) High Risk Population (count x 8, max. 100)			
b) Total Resident Population (count x 2, max. 100)			
c) Sensitive Environment	0 10 15 20 25		
Resident Target Score (lines 2a + 2b + 2c, max. 100)			
	Total Reside	ntial Population Score (	)
B. Nearby Population			

Likelihood of Exposure     (matrix score)	0.75
a) Area of Contamination	0 25 50 (75) 100
b) Accessibility/ Frequency of Use	5 25 50 (75) 100
2. Toxicity Environment	0 3 6 9
3. Targets (max. 100)	100

Total Nearby Population Score 675	Total Nearby Population Score	675
-----------------------------------	-------------------------------	-----

Overall Population Exposure Score

The Overall Population Exposure Score is determined by adding lines A and B and dividing this by 18 to give a score between 0 and 100.

Total Population Exposure Route of Migration Score: 37.50

### DOCUMENTATION RECORDS FOR STATE HAZARD RANKING SYSTEM

INSTRUCTIONS: Briefly summarize the information you used to assign a score to each factor and document the source of the information and/or the rationale for each score.

Mohawk-Karastan Plant	
NONCD0002874	
2007 Dickinson Ave., Greenville, Pitt Co.	35.600587°N 77.390456°W
05/01/09	
Ginny Henderson	
Air Route and Residential Population	
	NONCD0002874  2007 Dickinson Ave., Greenville, Pitt Co.  05/01/09  Ginny Henderson

### Comments:

### References:

- 1. State file.
- 2. North Carolina Atlas, University of NC Press, Chapel Hill, NC 1975.
- 3. <u>Rainfall Frequency Atlas of the US</u>, Technical Paper 40, US Department of Commerce, Washington, DC, 1963.
- 4. <u>2000 Census of Population and Housing: Summary Population and Housing Characteristics: North Carolina, US Department of Comerce.</u> <a href="http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/">http://quickfacts.census.gov/qfd/</a>.
- 5. Dangerous Properties of Industrial Materials, N. Irving Sax, Van Reinhold Company, Inc., 1984.
- 6. 40 CFR 300, Appendix A, July 1, 1988.

# GROUND WATER ROUTE

A.	Route Characteristics:				
	1.	Depth to Water Table: = 8	(1)		
		Contamination in groundwater			
	2.	Net Precipitation: = 2	(2)		
		50  in. - 41  in. = 9  in.			
	3.	Hydraulic Conductivity of Unsaturated Zone: = 3	(1)		
		Coastal Plain			
	4.	Physical State: = 3	(1)		
		Liquid			
В.	Containment: = 2				
	Conta	inment leaking			
C.	Waste Characteristics:				
	1.	Toxicity/Persistence: = 18	(1,5)		
		Arsenic			
	2.	Hazardous Waste Quantity: = 5	(1)		
		Unknown			

### SURFACE WATER ROUTE

# A. Route Characteristics: Facility Slope and Intervening Terrain: = 0(1) 1. FS < 3%; IT = 20 ft.-5 ft. = 0.3%4,700 ft. 2. One-Year 24-hour Rainfall: = 2 (3) 3.3 in. 3. Distance to Nearest Surface Water/Name: = 4 (1) 4,700 ft., Greens Mill Run Physical State: = 3(1) 4. Liquid B. Containment: = 2(1) Containment leaking

# C. Waste Characteristics:

1. Toxicity/Persistence: = 18 (1,5)

Arsenic

# AIR ROUTE

A.	Waste	Characteristics: NOT SCORED
	1.	Reactivity and Incompatibility:
	2.	Toxicity:
	3.	Hazardous Waste Quantity:
В.	Target	s: <u>NOT SCORED</u>
	1.	Population within 4-mile Radius/Distance from Hazardous Substance:
	2.	Distance to Sensitive Environment:
	3.	Land Use:

# POPULATION EXPOSURE ROUTE

A.	Residential Population: NOT SCORED						
	1.	Toxic	Toxicity:				
	2.	Targets:					
		a.	High Risk Population:				
		b.	Total Resident Population:				
		c.	Sensitive Environment				
В.	Nearb	Nearby Population:					
	1.	Likelihood of Exposure Score: = 0.75					
		a.	Area of Contamination: = 75	(1)			
			Site is 6.28 acres				
		b.	Accessibility/Frequency of Use: = 75	(1)			
			Partial fence				
	2.	Toxicity: = 9					
		Arser	nic				
	3.	Targe	ets: $0.1 (2,457.05) + 0.05 (7,371.15) = 614.26 \approx 100$				
		a.	0- $\frac{1}{2}$ mile: 3.14 (0.5 <sup>2</sup> ) $\times 3,130$ people/ <sub>sq.mi</sub> = 2,457.05	(4)			
		b.	$\frac{1}{2}$ - 1 mile: 3.14 (1 <sup>2</sup> – 0.5 <sup>2</sup> ) x 3,130 people/ <sub>sq.mi</sub> = 7,371.15	(4)			